## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**DEC 18 2000** 

## **TENTH CIRCUIT**

PATRICK FISHER Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ANDREW J. WHITMORE,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 00-5121 (N. District of Oklahoma) (D.C. No. 91-CR-9-E)

## ORDER AND JUDGMENT\*

Before BRORBY, KELLY, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist the determination of this appeal. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.

Andrew J. Whitmore, a federal prisoner proceeding *pro se*, appeals the district court's dismissal of his "Motion for Return of U.S. Currency and

<sup>\*</sup>This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata and collateral estoppel. The court generally disfavors the citation of orders and judgments; nevertheless, an order and judgment may be cited under the terms and conditions of 10th Cir. R. 36.3.

Jewelry." The district court dismissed Whitmore's claims as to one sum of

currency on the ground that the action was barred by the applicable six-year

statute of limitations. See 28 U.S.C. § 2401(a). The district court dismissed

Whitmore's claims as to a second sum of currency and a parcel of jewelry on the

ground that it lacked subject matter jurisdiction, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(e), noting

that Whitmore appeared to have conceded the lack of jurisdiction in his filings

before the court.

This court has conducted a *de novo* review of the district court's order of

dismissal, the parties' briefs and contentions, and the entire record on appeal.

That de novo review reveals no hint of reversible error. Accordingly, this court

AFFIRMS for substantially those reasons set forth in the district court's order of

dismissal filed April 20, 2000.

ENTERED FOR THE COURT:

Michael R. Murphy

Circuit Judge

-2-